Pavement Temperature Rates in the Intermountain Region of the United States- TRB 11-2646 Edward M. Cortez - Elie Y. Hajj - Peter E. Sebaaly





Conclusions

*Minimum air and pavement temperatures not only varied among all sections but also varied for sections within the intermountain region. This shows that environmental conditions may still vary within the intermountain region.

*Temperature rates reduced in magnitude as temperatures get colder.

*Daily temperature rates did not vary greatly among sections. Maximum daily cooling rates ranged from -1.4 to -2.7 C/hr; whereas maximum daily warming rates were in the 3-5 C/hr range.

*At a sensor depth of 12.5 mm, the average hourly cooling and hourly warming rates were greater in magnitude for sections within the intermountain region as compared to sections outside this region.

*At 25.0 mm depth into the HMA layer, the cooling and warming rates are not significantly different for sections within the intermountain region and sections outside this region.

*Most no-freeze sections have lower hourly cooling rates than "freeze" sections regardless of region

*Sections classified as freeze generally have colder minimum air and pavement temperatures than other sections. This implies that they have greater range of cold temperatures which ultimately lead to higher cooling rates.

*The intermountain region is dominated by a freezing climate which explains the relatively higher temperature rates.

Critically low temperatures coupled with high cooling rates will increase an AC pavement's susceptibility to thermal cracking

Recommendations

*To asses thermal cracking performance within the intermountain region, actual pavement temperature conditions will need to be simulated which may mean the use of multiple warming and cooling cycles instead of a constant linear rate such as in the TSRST (cooling rate of 10 C/hr).

Variable cooling rate need to replace linear cooling rates. Cooling events are partitioned into two distinct temperature rates; therefore, a linear cooling rate during testing may not be the best approach.

*Research only focused on pavement temperatures and temperature rates. Authors recommend looking at other pavement properties (i.e., volumetrics, aggregate structure, binder type, etc.) to see their effect on thermal cracking performance.



This study is a part of the overall effort in the Asphalt Research Consortium (ARC) work element E2d: Thermal Crac Resistant Mixes for Intermountain States sponsored by the FHWA, U.S. Department of Transportation. However, the contents of this report reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official views and policies of the FHWA. The authors gratefully acknowledge the FHWA support.

Asphalt Research Consortiun



Results

i.e.



University of Nevada, Reno

Hourly pavement and air temperature data from

> 3 WesTrack sections

Objective

84,750 84,760 84,77





Sensor Depth = 12.5 mm below pavement surface



asp

iner i



